IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 6TH JUNE 2007 / 16TH JYAISHTA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 587 of 2007(S)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.4527/2007 Dated 26/02/2007

.....

PETITIONERS:

---

1. A.V.MINI,AGED 43 YEARS,D/O.VASU,
AMBATTUVAYALIL,SHALYAMPARA P.O,KUNJITHANNI VILLAGE
DEVIKULAM TALUK,DIST.IDUKKI.

2. LEELAMMA E.S,AGD 63 YEARS,W/O.VASU,
MARUTHUMKALLANICKAL VEEDU,SHALYAMPARA P.O,
KUNJITHANNI VILLAGE, DEVIKULAM TALUK, DIST.IDUKKI.

BY ADV. SRI.K.REGHU KOTTAPPURAM

RESPONDENTS:

A.J.RAJAN, [AGE NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
S/O NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,]
DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
IDUKKI DISTRICT.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER, SMT.T.B.RAMANI

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 06/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

C.O.C. No. 587 of 2007 & I.A.No.307/2007

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

Dated this the 6th day of June, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Having perused the affidavit filed by the respondent in support of I.A.No.307/2007, I am satisfied that no case of wilful negligence in complying with the directions contained in the judgment has been made out. I am also satisfied that the time extension sought for is only to be granted, having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances pointed out in the petition, particularly, the judgment fixing an outer limit for consideration of the application.

In the aforesaid circumstances, while dismissing the contempt of court case, it is directed that the directions contained in the last paragraph of the judgment dated 26/02/2007 in W.P.(C) No.4527/2007 shall be complied with, by the District Collector, in accordance with law, within a period of six months from today. Needless to say, the necessary parties

shall be heard before a final decision is taken. I.A.No.307 of 2007 is allowed as above.

THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN
Judge

ms